

Sri B. D. JATTI.—I am not going to place the statement on the table of this House. It is no question between the labourers and the Management.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—You said that the matter of bonus for 52-53 is also involved. Why was there such a long delay in deciding that matter?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—It was involved. That was left till now. Recently it has been decided. A truce agreement has been entered into for three years. All the questions have been decided.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—In answer to (c) you said that the temporary gap in production was made up in subsequent months. May I know the wonderful ways and methods that were used in just producing to make up the loss in the subsequent months?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The method of putting the supplementary is rather wonderful. But the work done is normal. They exerted more and produced more and as such there is no loss to the factory.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—May I know how this plant was able to produce more beyond its capacity?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The production does not depend only upon the capacity of the plant. It also depends upon the workers who are working for more hours. Here I will give the figures of eight months for the previous three years also:

56-57	...	73,447 lbs.
57-58	...	1,83,000 lbs.
58-59	...	1,02,052 lbs.
59-60	...	1,08,664 lbs.

There is nothing wonderful in this; it is all the work of the labourers.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—When they work for more time, naturally they must be paid overtime allowance. Then where is the reason when there is no loss of revenue for the year as a result of the strike?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The labourers themselves agreed to make up the loss and they worked of their own accord; they are not going to claim anything.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—What is the number of hours they were working before and the number of hours

they were made to work after they promised to make up the loss? What is the extra time they were made to work?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—I have no idea about it; but they themselves worked with willingness.

Construction of High Level Causeway near Kunadhal Village in Siruguppa Taluk.

***Q.—528. Sri B. E. RAMAIAH (Siruguppa).**—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether they have received any representations and memoranda requesting for the construction of a High Level Causeway across Hagari River near Kunadahal Village in Siruguppa Taluk and to include the construction of the causeway in the sanctioned estimate of Rs. 59 lakhs, sanctioned for providing adequate and satisfactory inter-village communication in the TBP Ayacut area;

(b) whether they are aware that the Siruguppa-Hacholli Road is already sanctioned under ayacut roads and work is already under progress and that the present causeway intercepts this road and that the road will be incomplete if the causeway is not constructed;

(c) whether they have since received any estimates for the construction of this causeway and, if so, the cost of the estimate;

(d) whether they will take immediate steps for the construction of the present causeway?

A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

(a) Yes.

(b) Yes.

(c) An estimate amounting to Rs. 6 75 lakhs is under the scrutiny of the Superintending Engineer.

(d) This will be considered as soon as the estimate is received.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಎಸ್. ರಾಜಾಯ್ಯ.—ಈ ಕಾಜವೇ ತೇವೆ ಕಟ್ಟನೆಯೇಂದು ಜರ್ಮಾನಿದ್ವ ಮನೆಗಳು ಬಂದಿದೆ ಮನು,